Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

I. DISPUTE

- 1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement of \$9,270.00 for dates of service 02/07/01 through 03/27/01.
 - b. The request was received on 02/06/02.

II. EXHIBITS

- 1. Requestor, Exhibit I:
 - a. TWCC 60
 - b. HCFA(s)
 - c. TWCC 62 forms
 - d. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 2. Respondent, Exhibit II:
 - a. TWCC 60
 - b. HCFA(s)
 - c. TWCC 62 forms
 - d. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
- 3. Per Medical Dispute Resolution Information System Case Activity Log Seq.#17, "Additional Documentation requested from the requestor on 6/12/02 as of 7/2/02 no records have been rec'd. File is therefore forwarded to Waco for review..." No carrier sign sheet found in case file. The referenced Case Activity Log will be reflected in Exhibit III of the case file.

III. PARTIES' POSITIONS

- 1. Requestor: Per the Table of Disputed Services, "No fee guideline-Based on DOP-see documentation."
- 2. Respondent: No position statement found in the case file.

IV. FINDINGS

- 1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307(d) (1) (2), the only dates of service eligible for review are those commencing on <u>02/07/01</u> and extending through <u>03/27/01</u>.
- 2. The explanation of denial listed on the EOBs is "M-NO MAR, REDUCED TO FAIR AND REASONABLE ACCREDITED PROGRAM."
- 3. The following table identifies the disputed services and Medical Review Division's rationale:

rationale.							
DOS	CPT or	BILLED	PAID	EOB	MAR\$	REFERENCE	RATIONALE:
	Revenue			Denial			
	CODE			Code(s)			
02/07/01	97799-CP-	\$1,400.00	\$920.00	M	DOP	TWCC Act &	The provider billed in accordance with the
02/08/01	AP	\$1,400.00	\$920.00	M		Rules	referenced Rule. There is no medical
02/12/01		\$1,225.00	\$805.00	M		Sec. 413.011	documentation indicating that the services were
02/13/01		\$1,400.00	\$970.00	M		(d), Rules	rendered.
02/14/01		\$1,400.00	\$970.00	M		133.304 (i) &	rendered.
02/15/01		\$1,400.00	\$970.00	M		133.307	Nid
02/22/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			No evidence of a methodology was submitted by
02/23/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M		(g)(3)(D)	the carrier as required by Rule 133.304(i).
02/26/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M		MFG;MGR	
02/27/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M		(II)(C)(G)	The provider billed an hourly rate of \$175.00 for
03/01/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			the services rendered. The carrier reimbursed the
03/02/01		\$1,400.00	\$920.00	M			provider an average of \$115.00 an hour.
03/05/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			
03/06/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			The Medical Review Division must review the
03/07/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			evidence submitted to determine which party has
03/08/01		\$1,400.00	\$920.00	M			provided the most persuasive evidence to support
03/12/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			fair and reasonable since there is no MAR. The
03/13/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			carrier has failed to submit a response or a
03/14/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			methodology. The provider has failed to submit any
03/15/01		\$1,400.00	\$920.00	M			evidence of fair and reasonable or any medical
03/16/01		\$1,050.00	\$690.00	M			documentation.
03/21/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			documentation.
03/22/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			D D 1 122 207()(2/D) 41
03/23/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			Per Rule 133.307(g)(3(D), the provider must submit
03/26/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			"documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and
03/27/01		\$875.00	\$575.00	M			justifies that the payment amount being sought is a
							fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in
							accordance with § 133.1 of this title (relating to
							Definitions) and § 134.1 of this title (relating to Use
							of the Fee Guidelines);".
							Therefore, based on the lack of evidence submitted
							by the provider reimbursement is not
							recommended.
Totals		\$27,475.00	\$18,205.00				The Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 6^{th} day of February 2003.

Michael Bucklin Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division

MB/mb